

INNOVATION LAB ON SMALL SCALE IRRIGATION

Field intervention results

ILSSI External Evaluation, 2017



Presented by IWMI

















RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

Identifying with stakeholders potential solutions that will enable farmers to transform their livelihoods through economically and environmentally sustainable adoption of SSI

- What technologies, tools and approaches enable women and men farmers to profit, increase resilience and improve wellbeing? water access, water scheduling, technologies for water lifting comparison, conservation agriculture techniques, cost-benefit
- How can the pathway to sustainable adoption and use be enabled for women and men farmers?

micro and rural finance, value chain opportunities, price analysis, high value/potential crops

Can adoption be sustainable in landscape? monitoring of water and soil resources use on landscape scale: availability, quality, dryspells



ERNATIONAL FOOD

















FIELD INTERVENTIONS

- Irrigated high value crop production for economic/environmental sustainability, resilient livelihoods
- Conservation agriculture practices integrated into irrigated production
- Assessment of livestock feed resources, importance/demand for planted forage, and forage preference
- Testing annual and perennial grasses and legumes for biomass production (and effect on soil fertility and water utilization)
- Livestock productivity trials and modeling of animal performance (forage yield >forage quality> estimation of meat and milk production)
- Fodder market studies for demand, price quality relationships, value chain
- Water and land use, quality and sustainability at landscape scale (watershed impacts, groundwater recharge, water quality)
- Microfinance (based on stakeholder demand/emerging constraints)
- Data for ex-ante assessments to assess impact and potential for scaling







SITES AND INTERVENTIONS

- Water lifting technologies
- Irrigation scheduling tools
- Crops
- Microfinance options
- Water and soil analysis
- Gender disaggregation



SITES AND INTERVENTIONS

| Country | Region | District | Village | Watersource | Intervention(s)-technologies | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|----------------------------|---|--|
| ETHIOPIA | Amhara | Dangila | Dangeshta | Groundwater | Pulley, Rope and washer pumps, irrigation scheduling; Restrictive layer (groundwater recharge); Vegetable and fodder testing; Conservation agriculture experimental plots with women farmers | |
| | Amhara Bahir-Dar Zuria | | Robit | Groundwater/river | Pulley, irrigation scheduling; Vegetable and fodder testing; Conservation agriculture experimental plots with women farmers | |
| | Oromia Adami Tulu | | Bochesa | Groundwater/Lake/riv er | Motor pumps & furrow irrigation, Rope and washer pumps, irrigation scheduling; vegetable and fodder testing | |
| | SNNPR | Lemo | Upper Gana | Groundwater/river | Solar pump, Rope and washer, service provider & drip, irrigation scheduling; Vegetable and fodder testing | |
| GHANA | Northern | Savelugu | Bihinaayili | surface/streams | Pump, tank and hose | |
| | Upper East | Nabdam | Zanlerigu | shallow ground | Pump, tank and hose | |
| | Upper East | Kassena Nankana E. | Dimbasinia | shallow ground; surface | Pump, tank and drip kits | |
| | Northern | Savelugu | Yemu | | Drip kits with conservation agriculture (iDE as partner) with women farmers | |
| TANZANIA | Morogoro | Kilosa | Rudewa | surface (Rudewa) | Motor pump with furrow irrigation of tomato and African eggplant, deficit irrigation for furrow and drip, pocket gardens, | |
| | Morogoro | Mvomero | Mkindo | surface (Mkindo) | Motor pump with furrow irrigation of tomato and African eggplant, irrigation scheduling, pocket gardens, deficit irrigation in furrow systems; Conservation agriculture experimental plots with women farmers | |
| | Manyara | Babati | Babati | surface | FodderTesting | |
| TEXAS A&M GRILIFE RESEARCH INSTITUTE | | | | | | |

CGIAR

Institute

METHODOLOGICAL APPROACH – PARTICIPATORY ACTION RESEARCH

- + Integrates farmers and local stakeholders into research, learning and development of solutions in practice
- + Provides qualitative data on farm/household level, finance and institutional constraints and opportunities related to upscaling (market dynamics, behaviour in moving to irrigated/commercial production, incentives)
- + Quantitative data grounded
- + Integrates capacity development into research across stakeholder groups
- Presents challenges for data collection (consistency, extent, quality)

EMERGING RESULTS

RESEARCH PROGRAM ON Water, Land and Ecosystems

THE BORLAUG

NSTITUTE

AWM TECHNOLOGIES' POTENTIAL

Emerging messages: Need to work from multiple perspectives (of benefit) to provide incentives for farmers

| | Labor saving | Yield | Water product ivity | Profit | Multi- purpose use |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-------|---------------------------|--------|--------------------------|
| Control | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RW | 0 | 0 | 0 | -/0 | + |
| Solar | ++ | + | 0 | ++ | ++ |
| Service provision & drip | +/- | ++ | ++ | +/- | - |

Summary of the opportunities and challenges related to each of the water lifting technologies towards the control (=manual water lift from surface or groundwater). ++, + and – represent a high, medium and low effect.

IRRIGATION SCHEDULING IMPROVES OUTCOMES OF WATER USE IN SSI

RESEARCH

CGIAR

Water, Land and Ecosystems

Emerging messages:

- Scheduling tools can improve water use effectiveness, productivity
- Increase yields, profit
- Improve fertilizer, land productivity
- Must have high value produce to achieve profit
- Reduce labor (and cost) is the major incentive

Yield (t ha⁻¹)

Yield (t ha⁻¹) and corresponding profit converted to USD ha⁻¹ for cabbage and carrot for the rope and washer technology (RW) when irrigation was performed without support of a WFD (control, C.) and with a WFD.

IMPROVED WATER MANAGEMENT CAN IMPROVE PROFITS

LABOR AS A MAJOR CONSIDERATION

Emerging messages:

- Technologies that save labor are more profitable (and more preferred)
- Technologies follow incremental irrigation-labor linear relation.
 Manual most labor intensive, motorized (usually) lowest labor input for amount of water applied.

(Motorized here includes distance to fetch water by service provider which increases labor)

Irrigation depth applied during 2016 and associated number of irrigation labor days to irrigate one hectare

CONSERVATION AGRICULTURE

Ethiopia and Ghana: Significant vegetable yield increase under CA

(Yield increase by 31% onion and 55% garlic in Dangishita; 9% cabbage and 71% garlic in Robit; sweet potato 57% in Yemu)

| Managemen | Dangishita (kg/50 m²) | | | Robit (kg/50 m²) | | | Yemu, Ghana (kg/50 m²) | | |
|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------|------------------|---------------|------------|------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| | | Tomato | | | | | Sweet | Green | |
| ts | Garlic | Onion | | Tomato | Garlic | Cabbage | potato | pepper | Cucumber |
| | 18.96 +/- | 15.98 | | 89.21 +/- | 8.37 +/- | 117.89+/- | 79.5 +/- | | |
| СА | 7.29 | +/- 3.31 | No | 71.67 | 1.308 | 22.54 | 27.97 | 6.1 +/- 3.33 | No yield |
| | 12.23 +/- | 14.04 | harvest | 31.44 +/- | | 107.72 +/- | | | (disease) |
| СТ | 4.22 | +/-2.21 | (frost) | 21.51 | 4.91 +/- 3.13 | 21.47 | 50.7 +/- 9.8 | 1.8 +/-0.43 | _ |
| P-value | 0.001*** | 0.138 ^{ns} | - | 0.08* | 0.09* | 0.055* | 0.015** | 0.024** | |

Note: Data above represents mean/confidence interval; *** p<=0.01, ** P<=0.05, * P<=0.1, ns not significant at a= 0.1

RESEARCH PROGRAM ON

CGIAR

Water, Land and Ecosystems THE TEXAS

TERNATIONAL FOOD

TEXAS A&M

CONSERVATION AGRICULTURE

Ethiopia: Significant irrigation water use decrease observed under CA

(Dangishita 14 -16%; Robit 18-51%)

| | Dangishita (100 | 00 L/50 m²) | Robit (1000 L/50 m ²) | | | |
|-------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--|
| Managements | Garlic | Onion | Tomato | Garlic | Cabbage | |
| CA | 18.6 +/- 5.22 | 5.90 +/- 3.1 | 17.98 +/- 7.71 | 7.2+/-1.54 | 12.99+/- 2.07 | |
| СТ | 21.75 +/- 4.83 | 10.85 +/-2.7 | 22.0 +/- 7.77 | 10.91 +/- 2.85 | 15.87 +/- 2.94 | |
| P-value | 0.0004*** | 0.00007*** | 0.0004*** | 0.08* | 0.0004*** | |

Note: ET = Evapotranspiration; Q = Surface runoff; PRK = Percolation below root zone; IRGA = Irrigation water applied

IRRIGATED FODDER/FORAGES

Allocating land and water exclusively for forage production mostly new but in Ethiopia land allocation and seed demand clearly on increase

High demand for fodder and shrinking traditional fodder resources potent driver for new fodder technology adoptions, Napier, Desho and oats-vetch emerge as preferred options

Increasing demand for forage planting, more land allocation, more farmer from year 3 to 4 for example oats vetch seed demand up from 100 kg to 400 kg

More attention needs to be given to fodder cultivation as cash crop - need to move from try and error to structured approach using decision-making tools

FODDER MARKETS EMERGING IN SSA

| | Total milk produced if cows give | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--|
| | 3 kg | 6 kg | 9 kg | 12 kg | |
| | | | | | |
| Milk yield from use of single cut oats- vetch produced from 100 m ² | 61 kg | 118 kg | 147 kg | 167 kg | |
| Return from sales of milk assuming 12 Birr/kg | 732 | 1416 | 1764 | 2004 | |
| Selling Desho at market assuming 1.75 Birr per kg forage fresh | 1185 | | | | |

- Varied levels of profit milk vs fresh sale
- Potential for women farmers

TECHNOLOGIES ARE FEASIBLE, PROFITABLE + BENEFITS

Emerging messages:

- Farmers perceive multiple benefits, range of incentives to adopt/sustain; even manual technologies may offer irrigation + WASH, clean water, reduced labor
- Labor costs (including household) can reduce profit or make unfeasible
- Technologies not highest cost most are feasible: High value crop enable payback period < 1 to > 4 years
- Credit access could enable adoption
- Crops should be very high value to optimize profits/economic sustainability
- Some crops favored for irrigation are least profitable (e.g. onion), neglected but nutritious crops can be more profitable (amaranth, corchorus)

CA OFFERS FARMERS BENEFITS FOR LABOR, WATER

Opportunities

- Farmers easily master the system
- Savings with water, soil, labor
- Improved yield quantity and quality
- Potential to improve resilience and livelihoods
- Potential role in enhancing nutrition and empowering women (home consumption plus commercial sales)

Challenges

- Competitive uses for mulch (shortage)
- More pests under CA (Tanzania)
- Availability of drip parts for maintenance
- Water delivery issue, shortage, flooding
- Livestock destruction (Ethiopia and Tanzania)
- Insufficient agronomic advisory services to support/enable

IRRIGATED FODDER - STRONG POTENTIAL

- Irrigated forage is <u>a serious proposition for small scale irrigation</u>, agreement between empirical observations and ex-ante assessments
- Feeding to own livestock economically attractive where genetic potential for response, particularly in dairy (however labor/drudgery issues!)
- Forage as cash-crop <u>not a second class options but</u> be can be attractive, and more, than feeding to own livestock
- Value chain approach required (*feed/fodder value chain*) with attention given to off-farm actors, activities and transactions

Water, Land and Ecosvstems

On farm constraints - water shortage - recurring

INCREASING OPPORTUNITIES FOR MICROFINANCE

Ethiopia – Comprehensive description of MF context for irrigated production, econometric analysis of access-adoption links. Low literacy, numeracy, especially for women; need to carefully target inclusion of women in trainings.

Message: Access to MF does have impact on decision to adopt but only positive in certain conditions (gender, age of labor in household)

Ghana – Comprehensive description of MF context for irrigated production
Message: Shift in rural finance sector (supply): View irrigated production as lower risk than rainfed. Farmers (demand): Prefer informal or semi-formal credit due to high cost of credit

Tanzania – Assessing farm group sizes per land size in relation to microfinance
Message: Pump sharing groups may have an ideal size for context, but conflicts run high among groups.

RESULTS TO OUTCOMES: CURRENT

- Expanding areas in Ghana (outward from intervention sites); Seed producers linking to sites; Experimentation with inter-cropping; Men willingness to grow crops beyond onion, tomato (consider women's crops); Ghana Irrigation Development Authority expressed interest in WFD training
- Requests in Ethiopia for additional information, demonstration, training on WFD; Expanded areas (outward from intervention sites), including youth groups that adopted (Robit)
- Recognition in Tanzania of need to change crop/water information to farmers in intervention site areas; Expansion of sack gardens by women
- Partner (iDE) in Ghana adopted CA practice (esp mulching) in all interventions
- Increasing demand for forage planting, more land allocation, more farmers

SCIENTIFIC OUTPUTS TO OUTCOMES

- Water productivity-Labor-Yield paper(s)
- Econ feasibility/sustainability paper(s): Cost-benefit across countries; Price analysis (Ghana)
- Microfinance papers: Opportunities and areas for intervention (Ghana); Microfinance and impact on adoption of SSI (Ethiopia); Group borrowing dynamics (Tanzania)
- Qualitative interviews with farmers post-interventions: Learning; Perceptions on SSI technologies & benefits; Incentives; Project reflection
- Support student completion and publications before July 2018
- Irrigated fodder decision-support tool
- Irrigated fodder value chain assessments

OUTREACH TO OUTCOMES

Knowledge products: microfinance, WFD (training materials), water management-yield-profit...

| | Messages (examples) | Partners | Events |
|----------|--|---|---|
| Ghana | WFD can improve water use, yield and profit Microfinance opportunities for SSI | SADA and MoFA GIDA Finance | Farmer forums/exchg 'Results workshop' |
| Ethiopia | WFD Technologies/outcomes vary Microfinance Irrigated fodder/forages | SSI platform ATA, MoIEA EIAR and others | Farmer forums 'Results workshop' |
| Tanzania | Technologies Information for extension Irrigated fodder/forages | Irrigation Commission Ministry of Agric/Extension | Farmer forums 'Results workshop' |
| Gender | Beyond adoption; Target households; Consider groups and social networks | Ghana (GIDA, WIAD) Ethiopia (ATA gender) Tanzania | IWMI to support IFPRI in engagement |

JORTH CAROLINA

STATE UNIVERSITY

THE

THE TEXAS A&M

UNIVERSITY SYSTEM

NATIONAL RESEARCH PARTNERS

| Country | Partner |
|----------|---|
| Ethiopia | Bahir Dar University |
| | Arba Minch University |
| | Andassa Livestock Research Center |
| | Amhara Region Animal Research Institute |
| Ghana | University for Development Studies |
| | Animal Research Institute |
| | iDE |
| Tanzania | Sokoine University of Agriculture |

