

Analysis of Farmers' Willingness to Pay and the Feasibility of Household Irrigation Technologies

Gebrehaweria Gebregziabher, Fitsum Hagos, Nicole Lefore, and Amare Haileslassie International Water Management Institute (IWMI)

ILSSI Stakeholder Consultation - International Livestock Research Institute, Addis Ababa - 24th May 2018

Photo: Desalegne Tadesse/IWM

















Background

• 4 type of irrigation technologies field tested in 4 sites









- Cost:1350 Birr/unit including tanker and hose
- Vegetable and fodder



Rope & Washer

- Cost: 4000 Birr/unit
- Vegetable, fruit and fodder

TEXAS A&M GRILIF

RESEARCH

Solar pump

IFPR

THE TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY SYSTEM

- Cost: 8000 Birr/unit
 - Water application: Drip, hose, furrow

INTERNATIONAL FOOD POLICY RESEARCH INSTITUTE

Vegetable and fodder

International



Petrol Pump



Cost 13000 Birr/unit

Shared by 4 farmers

Vegetable for market



Research objectives

- To answer the following research questions.
- 1. What is the average amount that farmers are willing to pay for household level water lifting irrigation technologies?
- 2. Whether the feasibility/profitability of the technology has a relationship with the avelrage willingness to pay? if not,
- 3. What other factors affect farmers' willingness to pay?

















Methodology

Data and data source

Survey data from 400 farmers drawn from four research sites in Ethiopia

≻143 households (48 female headed) are project target households.

➤184 of the sample households have adopted at least one or a mix of household level water lifting irrigation technologies, including



IVESTOCK RESEARCH





THE TEXAS A&M

UNIVERSITY SYSTEM

INTERNATIONAL FOOD

IFPR

POLICY RESEARCH INSTITUTE



Methodology

- A <u>contingent valuation</u> method (CVM) was used
- <u>Two price bids</u>
- The <u>second bid is contingent</u> upon the response to the first bid.
- The respondent is engaged in <u>two rounds of bidding</u> where she/he is asked to respond <u>yes</u> or <u>no</u> to a stated sum of initial bid and then the second bid will <u>increase</u> or <u>decrease</u>, respectively
- So, the price elicitation format is <u>double-bounded dichotomous choice</u> <u>method</u>















Methodology

If the agent responds "yes" to the first bid (β_i), the second bid (β^u_i) is greater than the first bid

 $(\beta_i < \beta_i^u)$

On the other hand, if the agent responds "no" to the first bid (β_i), the second bid (β^d_i) is smaller than the first bid

 $\left(\beta_i^d < \beta_i\right)$

Accordingly, there are four possible outcomes:





















Results- Proportion of sample households willing to pay a bids price



- Not willing to pay the minimum bid price (0-3500)
- Willing to pay between the minimum and initial bid prices (3500 - 4000)
- Willing to pay between initial and higher bid prices (4000-4500)
- Willing to pay greater higher bid price (>4500)









POLICY RESEARCH INSTITUTE







Results- Farmers' average willingness to pay and cost of technologies



AWTP ranges between 69 to 90 percent of the actual cos



cost/AWTP/%



THE











 Feasibility/profitability of technologies depend of <u>crop type</u>, <u>water application</u> and <u>location</u>



of No relationship between farmers OE, AWTP and feasibility/profitability of the technology

















Farmers' WTP is influenced by a host of factors ranging from demographic to socioeconomic and farm specific factors

	R&W	Pulley	Petrol	Solar pump
			pump	
Age	-11	-0	-84***	-15
Literacy/numerical skills	607	135	1069	2329***
Distance to microfinance	- 6***	-2***	-36****	3
Applied for credit	459**	161***	1377*	1364***
Distance to market	-10***	-4***	-44***	-41***
Irr. experience (1=yes)	-681**	-169*	3363***	2189***
Land holding (ha.)	-2333***	-380	-3150	1066
Agricultural income	0.174***	0.043***	0.364**	0.306**
Groundwater (1=yes)	857***	168*	2753**	1250*





THE











Key Messages

- 1. Farmers are willing to pay for household irrigation technologies
- <u>But, support/subsidize/tariff is important</u> for successful adoption and scaling-up
- 2. An <u>income based differential approach of</u> support/subsidize is advisable
- Income based differential approach can:
 - Ensure most households have the <u>ability to pay</u>.
- Uniform support mechanism could be:
 - Discouraging and creates income inequality as the poor cannot afford

















3. Investment need to be <u>resource and objective</u> based

Manual pumps

- Often used for multiple uses
- Used for homestead irrigation
- Too small to produce surplus for the market,
- Improve household consumption
- Women tend to control income from

Motorized pumps

- More market-oriented
- Surplus production for the market
- 0.25 ha. is the minimum threshold for financially viable investment in motor pump

















Production and consumption by technology

Variables	Petrol pump	Manual pump
Value of income from irrigated agriculture (Birr/ha)	11142	4760
Value of per adult annual food consumption (Birr)	4094	6708

















Key Messages

4. Investment in education and training of farmers can accelerate the adoption/scaling up of technology,

It increases their ability to access, analyze and efficiently use information.

5. Improve access to credit, extension services and markets



























ATIONAL FOOD RESEARCH INSTITUTE latens for ending hunger and powerty



